



Appendix 2

Caerphilly County Borough Council - Integrated Impact Assessment

This integrated impact assessment (IIA) has been designed to help support the Council in making informed and effective decisions whilst ensuring compliance with a range of relevant legislation, including:

- Equality Act 2010 (Statutory Duties) (Wales) Regulations 2011
- Socio-economic Duty – Sections 1 to 3 of the Equality Act 2010
- Welsh Language (Wales) Measure 2011
- Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015
- Statutory Consultation v Doctrine of Legitimate Expectation and Gunning Principles

PLEASE NOTE: Section 3 *Socio-economic Duty* only needs to be completed if proposals are of a strategic nature or when reviewing previous strategic decisions.

See page 6 of the Preparing for the Commencement of the Socio-economic Duty Welsh Government Guidance.

1. Proposal Details

Lead Officer:-Allan Dallimore

Head of Service:- Rhian Kyte

Service Area and Department:- Regeneration Services, Regeneration and Planning Department

Date:-29th June 2022

What is the proposal to be assessed? *Provide brief details of the proposal and provide a link to any relevant report or documents.*

The UK Government has recently published details on Round 2 of their Levelling Up Fund, which forms one of the key programmes introduced to replace European funding programmes that are due to cease shortly. The suite of programmes for local and regional economics comprises of the Levelling Up Fund, The UK Community Renewal Fund and the UK Shared Prosperity Fund. A move towards this domestic funding arrangement will be instrumental to the future economic resilience and prosperity of Caerphilly County Borough and it is key that the Council maximises the potential.



The second round of the Levelling Up Fund will focus on the same three investment themes as the first round:

- local transport projects that make a genuine difference to local areas;
- town centre and high street regeneration;
- support for maintaining and expanding the UK's world-leading portfolio of cultural and heritage assets.

A report outlining the UK Government programmes was presented to Cabinet on the 19th May 2021. A list of CCBC projects which would potentially be eligible was outlined in that report. The list has been distilled through a vetting process undertaken by senior officers from within the Regeneration and Infrastructure divisions with the Place shaping Framework in mind. A summary of those projects is outlined within section 5.11. There has been a need to revise this list to respond to the LUF Round 2 criteria and to better reflect which projects have developed to a stage where they will be robust and detailed enough for submission to UK Government.

This report requests endorsement of three submissions under the LUF Programme Round 2:

- A constituency submission for Islwyn that concentrates on developing the visitor offer at Cwmcarn Forest based on the recent masterplan, plus enhancement works along the and Monmouth and Brecon canal.
- A constituency bid for Caerphilly that focuses on the provision of a new Well-being centre on council owned land at Caerphilly Business Park.
- A transport bid that focuses on securing funding to develop a new transport interchange in Caerphilly Town Centre.

This report also seeks Cabinet approval / endorsement of the Cwmcarn Masterplan developed by Tomorrow's Tourism on behalf of the council, so that it can act as the catalyst for investment at Cwmcarn Forest to fulfil the ambitions of establishing it as a regional visitor attraction.

It is expected that projects developed under these programmes will be aware of, and address, the well-being of current and future generations whilst addressing the needs of the people CCBC currently serve. The UKLUF programme will fund transformational physical projects that will have a long-lasting impact over 10-25 years

2. Equality Act 2010 (Statutory Duties) (Wales) Regulations 2011

*(The Public Sector Equality Duty requires the Council to have "due regard" to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation; advance equality of opportunity between different groups; and foster good relations between different groups). Please note that an individual may have more than one **protected characteristics**.*

2a Age (people of all ages)

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the protected characteristics and how?

Positive – the LUF submissions, if successful will allow projects to progress that benefit this group



(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

No negative impacts

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view?

All three LUF submissions are aimed at improving the lives of local residents; be it through improving access to public transport, access to fitness and well being services or access to the visitor services and the outdoors. The evidence to support each is being gathered in readiness for LUF submission and will be reported for each project individually as the projects are developed.

2b Disability (*people with disabilities/ long term conditions*)

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the protected characteristics and how?

Positive – the LUF submissions, if successful will allow projects to progress that benefit this group

(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

No negative impacts

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view?

All three LUF submissions are aimed at improving the lives of local residents, including disabled groups; be it through improving access to public transport, access to fitness and well being services or access to the visitor services and the outdoors. The evidence to support each is being gathered in readiness for LUF submission and will be reported for each project individually as the projects are developed.



2c Gender Reassignment (*anybody who's gender identity or gender expression is different to the sex they were assigned at birth*)

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the protected characteristics and how?

Positive – the LUF submissions, if successful will allow projects to progress that benefit this group

(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

No negative impacts

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view?

None are specifically aimed at this group . However, all three LUF submissions are aimed at improving the lives of local residents; be it through improving access to public transport, access to fitness and well being services or access to the visitor services and the outdoors. The evidence to support each is being gathered in readiness for LUF submission and will be reported for each project individually as the projects are developed.

2d Marriage or Civil Partnership (*people who are married or in a civil partnership*)

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the protected characteristics and how?

Positive – the LUF submissions, if successful will allow projects to progress that benefit this group

(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

No negative impacts

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view?

All three LUF submissions are aimed at improving the lives of all local residents; be it through improving access to public transport, access to fitness and well being services or access to the visitor services and the outdoors. The evidence to support each is being gathered in readiness for LUF submission and will be reported for each project individually as the projects are developed.



2e Pregnancy and Maternity (*women who are pregnant and/or on maternity leave*)

- (i) **Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the protected characteristics and how?**

Positive – the LUF submissions, if successful will allow projects to progress that benefit this group

- (ii) **If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?**

No negative impact

- (iii) **What evidence has been used to support this view?**

All three LUF submissions are aimed at improving the lives of local residents, including those who are pregnant ; be it through improving access to public transport, access to fitness and particularly through better access to well being services at the proposed new facility in the heart of Caerphilly town. The evidence to support each is being gathered in readiness for LUF submission and will be reported for each project individually as the projects are developed.



2f Race (*people from black, Asian and minority ethnic communities and different racial backgrounds*)

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the protected characteristics and how?

Positive – the LUF submissions, if successful will allow projects to progress that benefit this group

(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

There are no negative impacts

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view?

All three LUF submissions are aimed at improving the lives of local residents, including people of colour; be it through improving access to public transport, access to fitness and well being services or access to the visitor services and the outdoors. The evidence to support each is being gathered in readiness for LUF submission and will be reported for each project individually as the projects are developed.

2g Religion or Belief (*people with different religions and beliefs including people with no beliefs*)

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the protected characteristics and how?

Positive – the LUF submissions, if successful will allow projects to progress that benefit this group

(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

There are no negative impacts

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view?

All three LUF submissions are aimed at improving the lives of local residents; be it through improving access to public transport, access to fitness and well being services or access to the visitor services and the outdoors. The evidence to support each is being gathered in readiness for LUF submission and will be reported for each project individually as the projects are developed.



2h Sex (*women and men, girls and boys and those who self-identify their gender*)

- **Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the protected characteristics and how?**

Positive – the LUF submissions, if successful will allow projects to progress that benefit this group

- **If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?**

There are no negative impacts

- **What evidence has been used to support this view?**

All three LUF submissions are aimed at improving the lives of local residents; be it through improving access to public transport, access to fitness, visitor services and the outdoors and in particular for this group access or access to well being services. The evidence to support each is being gathered in readiness for LUF submission and will be reported for each project individually as the projects are developed.



2i Sexual Orientation (*lesbian, gay, bisexual, heterosexual, other*)

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the protected characteristics and how?

Positive – the LUF submissions, if successful will allow projects to progress that benefit this group

(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

There are no negative impacts for this group

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view?

All three LUF submissions are aimed at improving the lives of local residents; be it through improving access to public transport, access to fitness and well being services or access to the visitor services and the outdoors. The evidence to support each is being gathered in readiness for LUF submission and will be reported for each project individually as the projects are developed



3. **Socio-economic Duty** (*Strategic Decisions Only*)

*(The Socio-economic Duty gives us an opportunity to do things differently and put tackling inequality genuinely at the heart of key decision making. **Socio-economic disadvantage** means living on a low income compared to others in Wales, with little or no accumulated wealth, leading to greater material deprivation, restricting the ability to access basic goods and services)*

Please consider these additional vulnerable group and the impact your proposal may or may not have on them:

- Single parents and vulnerable families
- People with low literacy/numeracy
- Pensioners
- Looked after children
- Homeless people
- Carers
- Armed Forces Community
- Students
- Single adult households
- People misusing substances
- People who have experienced the asylum system
- People of all ages leaving a care setting
- People living in the most deprived areas in Wales (WIMD)
- People involved in the criminal justice system

3a **Low Income / Income Poverty** (*cannot afford to maintain regular payments such as bills, food, clothing, transport etc.*)

(i) **Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the following and how?**

Positive – the LUF submissions, if successful will allow projects to progress that benefit this group

(ii) **If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?**

No negative impacts

(iii) **What evidence has been used to support this view?**

All three LUF submissions are aimed at improving the lives of local residents; be it through improving access to public transport, access to fitness and well being services or access to the visitor services and the outdoors. The evidence to support each is being gathered in readiness for LUF submission and will be reported for each project individually as the



projects are developed. Each project will bring employment and training opportunities and should improve access to public transport



3b Low and/or No Wealth (*enough money to meet basic living costs and pay bills but have no savings to deal with any unexpected spends and no provisions for the future*)

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the following and how?

Positive – the LUF submissions, if successful will allow projects to progress that benefit this group

(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

No negative impacts

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view?

All three LUF submissions are aimed at improving the lives of local residents; be it through improving access to public transport, access to fitness and well being services or access to the visitor services and the outdoors. The evidence to support each is being gathered in readiness for LUF submission and will be reported for each project individually as the projects are developed. Each project will bring employment and training opportunities and should improve access to public transport

3c Material Deprivation (*unable to access basic goods and services i.e. financial products like life insurance, repair/replace broken electrical goods, warm home, hobbies etc.*)

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the following and how?

Positive – the LUF submissions, if successful will allow projects to progress that benefit this group

(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

no negative impacts

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view?

All three LUF submissions are aimed at improving the lives of local residents; be it through improving access to public transport, access to fitness and well being services or access to the visitor services and the outdoors. The evidence to support each is being gathered in readiness for LUF submission and will be reported for each project individually as the projects are developed. Each project will bring employment and training opportunities and should help with peoples well being



3d Area Deprivation *(where you live (rural areas), where you work (accessibility of public transport) Impact on the environment?)*

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the following and how?

Positive – the LUF submissions, if successful will allow projects to progress that benefit this group

(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

No negative impacts

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view?

All three LUF submissions are aimed at improving the lives of local residents; be it through improving access to public transport, access to fitness and well being services or access to the visitor services and the outdoors. The evidence to support each is being gathered in readiness for LUF submission and will be reported for each project individually as the projects are developed. Each project will bring employment and training opportunities and should improve access to public transport



3e Socio-economic Background (*social class i.e. parents education, employment and income*)

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the following and how?

Positive – the LUF submissions, if successful will allow projects to progress that benefit this group

(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

No negative impacts

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view?

All three LUF submissions are aimed at improving the lives of local residents; be it through improving access to public transport, access to fitness and well being services or access to the visitor services and the outdoors. The evidence to support each is being gathered in readiness for LUF submission and will be reported for each project individually as the projects are developed. Each project will bring employment and training opportunities and should improve access to public transport

3f Socio-economic Disadvantage (*What cumulative impact will the proposal have on people or groups because of their protected characteristic(s) or vulnerability or because they are already disadvantaged*)

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the following and how?

Positive – the LUF submissions, if successful will allow projects to progress that benefit this group

(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

No negative impacts

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view?

All three LUF submissions are aimed at improving the lives of local residents; be it through improving access to public transport, access to fitness and well being services or access to the visitor services and the outdoors. The evidence to support each is being gathered in readiness for LUF submission and will be reported for each project individually as the projects are developed. Each project will bring employment and training opportunities and should improve access to public transport



4. Corporate Plan – Council’s Well-being Objectives

(How does your proposal deliver against any/all of the Council’s Well-being Objectives? Which in turn support the national well-being goals for Wales as outlined in the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015. Are there any impacts (positive, negative or neutral? If there are negative impacts how have these been mitigated?) Well-being Objectives

Objective 1 - Improve education opportunities for all.

Levelling Up funding will allow the three projects to progress that will encourage associated training projects to proceed that promote this objective

Objective 2 - Enabling employment.

LUF funding would allow the three projects to proceed, producing direct employment outputs and also projects that upskill people to enter into employment

Objective 3 - Address the availability, condition and sustainability of homes throughout the county borough and provide advice, assistance or support to help improve people’s well-being. LUF projects identified are unlikely to affect the availability, condition and sustainability of homes,

Objective 4 - Promote a modern, integrated and sustainable transport system that increases opportunity, promotes prosperity and minimises the adverse impact on the environment

One of the main LUF project is a new transport interchange in Caerphilly that will promote a modern integrated and sustainable transport system. Active travel routes will be introduced to support the other two projects as well.

Objective 5 - Creating a county borough that supports healthy lifestyle in accordance with the Sustainable Development principle with in the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015

Objective 6 - Support citizens to remain independent and improve their well-being

LUF funding would allow projects to proceed that promote this objective as it would encourage investment into the following:

- Investment in Skills



- Investment for Local Business
- Investment in Communities and Place

Supporting People into Employment

4a. Links to any other relevant Council Policy (*How does your proposal deliver against any other relevant Council Policy*)

CCBC Leisure Strategy

CCBC Transport Strategy

CCBC Regeneration Strategy

Caerphilly Basin Masterplan

Caerphilly Town 2035 Plan

CCBC Corporate Plan

5. Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 – The Five Ways of Working (*Also known as the sustainable development principles. The Act requires the Council to consider how any proposal improves the economic, social, environmental and cultural well-being of Wales using the five ways of working as a baseline*)

How have you used the Sustainable Development Principles in forming the proposal?

Long Term

It is expected that projects as they are developed will be aware of, and address, the well-being of current and future generations whilst addressing the needs of the people CCBC currently serve. The UKLUF programme will fund transformational physical projects that will have a long-lasting impact over 10-25 years. All projects are being developed to have long term viability

Prevention

Proposals developed by CCBC under these programmes will prevent problems occurring or getting worse. The LUF projects will look to develop physical outputs that respond to local issues. They will relief transport issues, stimulate the local economy, provide employment, deliver environmental improvements and provide better access to physical exercise and well being support.

Integration

The LUF submissions will impact positively on the services provided by the Council, be it Leisure, Tourism, Transport or our community support services.



Collaboration

In a similar vein to the opportunities outlined above for Integration section, the LUF submissions will allow for projects to be delivered that are collaborative between the Council and other actors/agencies within the community. This is particularly relevant to the Well being centre

Involvement

Key stakeholders have been engaged specifically for each of the three projects as part of the project evolution to ensure their views are incorporated into the final plans

6. Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015

Does the proposal maximise our contribution to the Well-being Goals and how?

A Prosperous Wales

An innovative, productive and low carbon society which recognises the limits of the global environment and therefore uses resources efficiently and proportionately (including acting on climate change); and which develops a skilled and well-educated population in an economy which generates wealth and provides employment opportunities, allowing people to take advantage of the wealth generated through securing decent work

The CCBC projects being submitted adhere to the overall objectives of the Levelling Up programmes as set out in the UK prospectus documents. Hence the three CCBC projects, if funded, will look to generate wealth, provide jobs and training by efficiently using both funding from the programmes and our own match where applicable.

A Resilient Wales

A nation which maintains and enhances a biodiverse natural environment healthy functioning ecosystems that support social, economic and ecological resilience and the capacity to adapt to change (for climate change)

Projects being submitted have been to be developed so that each is considered against their environmental impact and maximise opportunities to enhance existing ecosystems. All three will have a positive impact in different ways



A Healthier Wales

A society in which people's physical and mental well-being is maximised and in which choices and behaviours that benefit future health are understood

The CCBC projects being submitted adhere to the overall objectives of the Levelling Up programmes as set out in the UK prospectus documents. Hence the three CCBC projects, if funded, will look to generate improvements in peoples well being using funding from the programmes and our own match where applicable. In particular, the Well Being centre and the development of the visitor offer at Cwmcarn will have a positive impact

A More Equal Wales

A society that enables people to fulfil their potential no matter what their background or circumstances (including their socio-economic background and circumstances)

The CCBC projects being submitted adhere to the overall objectives of the Levelling Up programmes as set out in the UK prospectus documents. Hence the three CCBC projects, if funded, will look to generate wealth, provide jobs and training by efficiently using both funding from the programmes and our own match where applicable. In addition improvement to transport infrastructure will improve accessibility to those in more deprived areas

A Wales of Cohesive Communities

Attractive, viable, safe and well-connected communities

The CCBC projects being submitted adhere to the overall objectives of the Levelling Up programmes as set out in the UK prospectus documents. Hence the three CCBC projects, if funded, will look to generate wealth, provide jobs and training by efficiently using both funding from the programmes and our own match where applicable. In addition, improvement to transport infrastructure will improve accessibility to those in more deprived areas.

A Wales of Vibrant Culture and Thriving Welsh Language

A society that promotes and protects culture, heritage and the Welsh language, and which encourages people to participate in the arts, and sports and recreation

The overall objectives of the LUF programme as set out in the UK prospectus documents will be adhered to. Hence CCBC projects, when being developed, will look to CCBC projects will look to promote and protect culture, particularly at Cwmcarn and within Caerphilly basin. The well being centre will have flexible space for community/cultural events and will encourage people to participate in sports and recreation. The project at Cwmcarn will also encourage recreational activities



A Globally Responsible Wales

A nation which, when doing anything to improve the economic, social, environmental and cultural well-being of Wales, takes account of whether doing such a thing may make a positive contribution to global well-being

All three projects will look to improve the economic, social and cultural well-being of the community



7. Welsh Language (Wales) Measure 2011 and Welsh Language Standards

(The Welsh Language Measure 2011 and the Welsh Language Standards require the Council to have 'due regard' for the positive or negative impact a proposal may have on opportunities to use the Welsh language and ensuring the Welsh language is treated no less favourably than the English language) Policy Making Standards - Good Practice Advice Document

7a. Links with Welsh Government's Cymraeg 2050 Strategy and CCBC's Five Year Welsh Language Strategy 2022-2027 and the Language Profile

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the following and how?

The UK levelling up bids will have the capacity to have a positive effect. The use of the Welsh language will be promoted where possible and organisations who benefit from funding will be expected to comply with the Welsh Language Act and Welsh language standards

(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

No negative impacts

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view? e.g. the WESP, TAN20, LDP, Pupil Level Annual School Census

UK Prospectus documents for each submission

7b. Compliance with the Welsh Language Standards. Specifically Standards 88–93

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the following and how?

Project will comply where necessary and where they don't impact then correspondence and detail on website will be bilingual

(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

No negative impacts

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view? e.g. the WESP, TAN20, LDP, Pupil Level Annual School Census

UK Prospectus documents for each submission



7c. Opportunities to promote the Welsh language *e.g. status, use of Welsh language services, use of Welsh in everyday life in work / community*

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the following and how?

The UK Levelling Up programme submissions will have the capacity to have a positive effect. The use of the Welsh language will be promoted where possible and organisations who benefit from funding will be expected to comply with the Welsh Language Act and Welsh language standards.

(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

No negative impact

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view? *e.g. the WESP, TAN20, LDP, Pupil Level Annual School Census*

UK Prospectus documents for each submission

7d. Opportunities for persons to use the Welsh language *e.g. staff, residents and visitors*

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the following and how?

The CCBC Levelling up submissions will have the capacity to have a positive effect. The use of the Welsh language will be promoted at each location should the projects gain funding. In addition, organisations who benefit from funding will be expected to comply with the Welsh Language Act and Welsh language standards

(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

No negative impacts

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view? *e.g. the WESP, TAN20, LDP, Pupil Level Annual School Census*

UK Prospectus documents for each submission

7e. Treating the Welsh language no less favourably than the English language

(i) Does the proposal have any positive, negative or neutral impacts on the following and how?

The three levelling up submissions will have the capacity to have a positive effect. The use of the Welsh language will be promoted where possible and organisations who benefit from funding will be expected to comply with the Welsh Language Act and Welsh language standards



(ii) If there are negative impacts how will these be mitigated?

No negative impacts

(iii) What evidence has been used to support this view? *e.g. the WESP, TAN20, LDP, Pupil Level Annual School Census*

UK prospectus documents for each submission

7f. Having considered the impacts above, how has the proposal been developed so that there are positive effects, or increased positive effects on (a) opportunities for persons to use the Welsh language, and (b) treating the Welsh language no less favourably than the English language.

The UK programmes will have the capacity to have a positive effect. The use of the Welsh language will be promoted within each of the three projects, including bi-lingual signage, promotion of the language on site and bi-lingual staff where possible. Organisations who benefit from funding will be expected to comply with the Welsh Language Act and Welsh language standards

8. Data and Information

(What data or other evidence has been used to inform the development of the proposal? Evidence may include the outcome of previous consultations, existing databases, pilot projects, review of customer complaints and compliments and or other service user feedback, national and regional data, academic publications and consultants' reports etc.)

Data/evidence *(Please provide link to report if appropriate)*

Each project will have its own data set which will be presented in individual reports when the projects develop further, should LUF funding be forthcoming



Key relevant findings

To be determined

How has the data/evidence informed this proposal?

To be determined

Were there any gaps identified in the evidence and data used to develop this proposal and how will these gaps be filled? *Details of further consultation can be included in Section 9.*

Each project needs to develop further and this will happen if LUF funding submissions are successful. It is at this stage that more detailed evidence will be presented for each project

9. Consultation

*(In some instances, there is a legal requirement to consult. In others, even where there is no legal obligation, there may be a legitimate expectation from people that a consultation will take place. Where it has been determined that consultation is required, **The Gunning Principles** must be adhered to. Consider the **Consultation and Engagement Framework**. Please note that this may need to be updated as the proposal develops and to strengthen the assessment.*

Briefly describe any recent or planned consultations paying particular attention to evidencing the Gunning Principles.

Key stakeholder have been engaged in developing the submissions. These stakeholders will have a pivotal role to play as the projects develop, should funding be secured with further engagement being undertaken. Local members have been consulted on the proposals

Who was consulted?

For each projects a different set of key stakeholders has been engaged with

When they were consulted did the consultation take place at the formative stage and was adequate time given for consultees to consider and respond?

Consultation has taken place at the formative stage of each project and has continued to the submission stage. Further consultation will be required if the projects are successful in gaining funding



Was sufficient information provided to consultees to allow them to make an informed decision on the proposal?

Initial consultation will be followed with more detailed engagement should the projects receive funding to develop further

What were the key findings?

In short the findings supported the rationale for identifying these three projects as being necessary and beneficial to take forward

How have the consultation findings been taken into account?

Feedback has been fed into the design process for each project.



10. Monitoring and Review

How will the implementation and the impact of the proposal be monitored, including implementation of any amendments?

The UK programmes will have grant award conditions that will set out detailed and robust monitoring arrangements for projects. The project submissions each set out in detail how the project will be monitored and evaluated

What are the practical arrangements for monitoring?

Each project will appoint monitoring and evaluation consultants to appraise the project

How will the results of the monitoring be used to develop future proposals?

Monitoring information helps to reflect on delivery success. And helps inform future project delivery

When is the proposal due to be reviewed?

Too early to be determined yet

Who is responsible for ensuring this happens?

Each project has a lead Senior Responsible Officer (for each it will be a senior CCC office) - it will be their duty to ensure this happens

11. Recommendation and Reasoning (delete as applicable)

- Implement proposal with no amendments

Have you contacted relevant officers for advice and guidance? (delete as applicable)

- Yes
-



12. Reason(s) for Recommendations

(Provide below a summary of the Integrated Impact Assessment. This summary should be included in the "Summary of Integrated Impact Assessment" section of the Corporate Report Template. The Integrated Impact Assessment should be published alongside the Report proposal).

The UK levelling Up projects outlined in the report will have a positive impact on equalities, Welsh language and the Socio-economic Duty. An IIA has been completed with the information that is available for the project proposals to be submitted in July 2022. However, as each proposal is taken forward, a more detailed IIA will be undertaken

13. Version Control

(The IIA should be used in the early stages of the proposal development process. The IIA can be strengthened as time progresses to help shape the proposal. The Version Control section will act as an audit trail to evidence how the IIA has been developed over time) (Add or delete versions as applicable)

➤ Version 1

Author:- Allan Dallimore, Regeneration Services Manager

Brief description of the amendments/update:-

Revision Date:-

➤ Version 2

Author:-

Brief description of the amendments/update:-

Revision Date:-



Integrated Impact Assessment Author

Name:-Allan Dallimore

Job Title:- Regeneration Services Manager

Date:-09.06.22

Head of Service Approval

Name:- Rhian Kyte

Job Title:-Head of Regeneration and Planning

Signature:-

Date:-09.06.22